arXiv:1508.01263v4 [math.CO] 5 Sep 2015

Interval minors of complete multipartite graphs *

Ya-Ping Mao[1], Hong-Jian Lai[2], Zhao Wang[1,3], Zhi-Wei Guo[1]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Qinghai Normal
University, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China

[2]Department of Mathematics, West Virginia
University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

[3]School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal
University, Beijing 100875, China

E-mails: maoyaping@ymail.com; hjlai2015@hotmail.com;
wangzhao380@yahoo.com; guozhiweic@yahoo.com

Abstract

Interval minors of bipartite graphs were introduced by Jacob Fox in the study of Stanley-Wilf limits. Recently, Mohar, Rafiey, Tayfeh-Rezaie and Wu investigated the maximum number of edges in $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free bipartite graphs when $k = 2$ and $k = 3$. In this paper, we investigate the maximum number of edges in $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free bipartite graphs for general k and ℓ. We also study the maximum number of edges in $K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$-interval minor free multipartite graphs.

Keywords: Interval minor, complete bipartite graph, complete multipartite graph.

AMS subject classification 2010: 05C35, 05C83, 05B20.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We refer to [3] for undefined graph theoretical notation and terminology. For a vertex v in a graph G, $N_G(v)$ denotes the set of vertices in G adjacent to v, called the neighborhood of v. The degree of v in G is $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. If X, Y are two disjoint vertex subsets of G, then $[X, Y]_G$ is the set of all edges with one end in X and the other end in Y.

---

*Supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11161037) and the Science Found of Qinghai Province (No. 2014-ZJ-907).

We follow [9] for the definition of linear orderings of sets. Throughout this paper, we use $(A, <_A)$ to denote a linearly ordered set A with a linear ordering $<_A$. For notational convenience, we often use A to denote $(A, <_A)$ without explicitly mentioning $<_A$; and when it is clear from the context, we sometimes omit the subscript A in the linear ordering $<_A$. Two elements u and v are *consecutive* in the linearly ordered set A if $u < v$ and there is no vertex $w \in A$ satisfying $u < w < v$. By *an ordered multipartite graph* $(G; A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_t)$, we mean a t-partite graph G with partite sets $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_t$ where for each i with $1 \leq i \leq t$, $(A_i, <_{A_i})$ is a linearly ordered set. All multipartite graphs in this paper are ordered and so, for simplicity, we usually say multipartite graph G instead of ordered multipartite graph $(G; A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_t)$. By identifying two consecutive vertices u and v to a single vertex w in G, we obtain a new ordered bipartite graph G' such that $N_{G'}(w) = N_G(u) \cup N_G(v)$.

Two ordered bipartite graphs G and H are *isomorphic* if there is a graph isomorphism $G \to H$ preserving both parts, possibly exchanging them, and preserving both linear orders. They are *equivalent* if H can be obtained from G by reversing the orders in one or both parts of G and possibly exchange the two parts.

If G and H are ordered bipartite graphs, then H is called an *interval minor* of G if a graph isomorphic to H can be obtained from G by repeatedly applying the following operations:
(IM1) deleting an edge;
(IM2) identifying two consecutive vertices.

The operation (IM2) can also be considered as an operation on linearly ordered sets. Let $(A, <_A)$ be a linearly ordered set with a linear ordering $a_1 <_A a_2 <_A \cdots, <_A a_n$. Then for any i with $1 \leq i < n$, a_i, a_{i+1} are two consecutive elements in A. We shall adopt the notational convention of viewing the operation (IM2) that identifying a_i and a_{i+1} as a mapping $\phi : A \mapsto A' = A - \{a_{i+1}\}$ by defining $\phi(a_i) = a_i = \phi(a_{i+1})$ and $\phi(a_j) = a_j$ for each $j \neq i, i+1$. Thus $A' = \phi(A)$ has a natural linear ordering $a_1 <_A a_2 <_A \cdots <_A a_i <_A a_{i+2} <_A \cdots <_A a_n$ inherited from the linear ordering of A. We adopt the convention to view A' as a linearly ordered subset of A, and to denote this fact by $A' \subseteq A$.

If H is not an interval minor of G, we say that G *avoids* H as an interval minor or that G is H*-interval minor free*. Let $ex(p, q, H)$ denote the maximum number of edges in a bipartite graph with parts of sizes p and q which avoids H as an interval minor.

In classical Turán extremal graph theory, one asks about the maximum number of edges of a graph of order n which has no subgraph isomorphic to a given graph. Motivated by the problems in computational and combinatorial geometry, the authors in [2, 7, 8] considered Turán type problems for matrices which can be seen as ordered bipartite graphs. In the ordered version of Turán theory, the question is: what is the maximum number edges of an ordered bipartite graph with parts of size p and q with no subgraph isomorphic to a given ordered bipartite graph? For more details on this problem and its variations, we refer to [1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12]. As another variation, interval minors were recently introduced by Fox in [6] in the study of Stanley-Wilf limits. Fox obtained exponential upper and lower bounds for $ex(n, n, K_{\ell,\ell})$.

Recently, Mohar, Rafiey, Tayfeh-Rezaie and Wu [11] investigated the maximum number of edges in $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free bipartite graphs when $k = 2$ and $\ell = 3$. In this paper, we study the maximum number of edges in $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free bipartite graphs for general k and ℓ. We also study the maximum number of edges in $K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$-interval minor free multipartite graphs. Our idea is from [11].

Unless otherwise stated, we in this paper assume that $0 \leq \ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \cdots \leq \ell_t$ are integers. For notational convenience, we define $m(p, q, k, \ell) = ex(p, q, K_{k,\ell})$, and $m(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t) = ex(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t, K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t})$. The following observation is immediate.

Observation 1 *Let $(G; A, B)$ be a bipartite graph with $|A| = p$ and $|B| = q$, and let k, ℓ be two positive integers.*

(1) *If $K_{k,\ell}$ is an interval minor of $(G; A, B)$, then*

$$\min\{k, \ell\} \leq \min\{p, q\} \text{ and } \max\{k, \ell\} \leq \max\{p, q\}.$$

(2) *If $\min\{p, q\} < \min\{k, \ell\}$ or $\max\{p, q\} < \max\{k, \ell\}$, then $(G; A, B)$ is $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free, and*

$$m(p, q, k, \ell) = pq.$$

The main results are the following theorems, whose proofs are presented in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

Theorem 1 *Let k and ℓ be two positive integers with $k \leq \ell$, and let p and q be two positive integers.*

(1) *If $k \leq p \leq \ell - 1$, then*

$$m(p, q, k, \ell) = (\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1).$$

(2) *If $p = (\ell - k)r + e$, where $k - 1 \leq e \leq \ell - 2$, then*

$$m(p, q, k, \ell) = (\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1).$$

Theorem 2 *Let $n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t$ be t positive integers, and $\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t$ be t positive integers such that $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_t$, $\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_t$. If $n_i < \ell_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, then*

$$m(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i\right)\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} n_k\right) - (\ell_2 - 1)n_1 + (n_2 - \ell_2 + 1)(\ell_1 - 1).$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout this section, we assume that p, q, k, ℓ are positive integers. The purpose of this section is to determine the value of $m(p, q, k, \ell)$ and to complete the proof of Theorem 1. Let $(G; A, B)$ be an ordered bipartite graph where

$$\begin{aligned} &A \text{ has a linear ordering } a_1 <_A a_2 <_A \cdots <_A a_p \text{ and} \\ &B \text{ has a linear ordering } b_1 <_B b_2 <_B \cdots <_B b_q. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The vertices a_1 and b_1 are called the *bottom vertices* whereas a_p and b_q are the *top vertices.*

Lemma 1 *Suppose that $p \geq k$. Then*

$$m(p, q, k, \ell) \leq (\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1).$$

Proof. Suppose first that k is even. For $k/2 \leq i \leq p - k/2$, we define $X_i = \{b_j \in B$: there exists $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{k/2} \leq i < i_{k/2+1} < \cdots < i_k$ such that for every h with $1 \leq h \leq k$, $a_{i_h}b_j, a_ib_j \in E(G)\}$.

If for some i, $|X_i| \geq \ell$, then by performing operations (IM1) and (IM2) to identify vertices in A and deleting the resulting all but one edge in each resulting parallel class of edges after the vertex identification, we will obtain a $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor of G, contrary to the assumption. Hence for every i with $k/2 \leq i \leq p - k/2$, we have $|X_i| \leq \ell - 1$. As there are $k - 1$ vertices in $A - \{a_i : k/2 \leq i \leq p - k/2\}$, every $b_j \in B$ appears in at least $d(b_j) - k + 1$ sets in $\{X_i : \frac{k}{2} \leq i \leq p - \frac{k}{2}\}$. Thus

$$|[B, \cup_{k/2 \leq i \leq p-k/2} X_i]_G| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} (d(b_j) - k + 1) \leq \sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}}^{p-\frac{k}{2}} |X_i| \leq (p - k + 1)(\ell - 1).$$

It follows that $|E(G)| = |[B, \cup_{k/2 \leq i \leq p-k/2} X_i]_G| + |[B, A - \cup_{k/2 \leq i \leq p-k/2} X_i]_G| \leq (p - k + 1)(\ell - 1) + q(k - 1)$.

Suppose that k is odd. For $\frac{k+1}{2} \leq i \leq p - \frac{k-1}{2}$, we let $X_i = \{b_j \in B$: there exists $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{\frac{k-1}{2}} < i < i_{\frac{k+1}{2}} < \cdots < i_k$ such that for every h with $1 \leq h \leq k$, $a_{i_h}b_j, a_ib_j \in E(G)\}$. With a similar argument as for the case when k is even and by the assumption that G is $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free, we conclude that for each i with $\frac{k+1}{2} \leq i \leq p - \frac{k-1}{2}$, $|X_i| \leq \ell - 1$, and that every $b_j \in B$ appears in at least $d(b_j) - k + 1$ sets in $\{X_i : \frac{k+1}{2} \leq i \leq p - \frac{k-1}{2}\}$. It follows from $\sum_{i=1}^{q} (d(b_j) - k + 1) \leq \sum_{i=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{p-\frac{k-1}{2}} |X_i| \leq (p - k + 1)(\ell - 1).$ that $|E(G)| \leq (p - k + 1)(\ell - 1) + q(k - 1)$. ∎

Example 3 *Let $(G; A, B)$ be a bipartite graph with the ordered partite sets A and B as defined in (2.1) and with*

$$\begin{aligned} E(G) &= \{a_i b_j \,|\, 1 \le i \le p, 1 \le j \le \ell - 1\} \\ &\quad \cup \{a_{i_h} b_j \,|\, \ell \le j \le q, 1 \le h \le k-1, i_h \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p\}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

(As the edges in $E(G)$ vary as the choice of i_h changes, $(G; A, B)$ defined this way represents a family of ordered bipartite graphs. We shall use $(G; A, B)$ to denote any one in this family as well.)

Lemma 2 *Let p and q be positive integers, and let $k \le p$ and $\ell \le q$ be two positive integers with $k \le p \le \ell - 1$. Then*
(i) The graph $(G; A, B)$ defined in Example 3 is $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free.
(ii) $m(p, q, k, \ell) \ge (\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1)$.

Proof. Let $(G; A, B)$ be the ordered bipartite graph defined in Example 3. Then direct computation yields $|E(G)| = (\ell - 1)p + (q - \ell + 1)(k - 1) = (\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1)$. Thus (ii) follows from (i).

We argue by contradiction to prove (i) and assume that G has a complete bipartite graph $(H; A', B')$ as an interval minor with $k = |A'| \le |B'| = \ell$. By the definition of an interval minor, we have either $A' \subseteq B$ and $B' \subseteq A$, or $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ as linearly ordered subsets. If $A' \subseteq B$ and $B' \subseteq A$, then $\ell = |B'| \le |A| = p$, contrary to the assumption that $p \le \ell - 1$. Thus we must have $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$.

If $B' \cap \{b_\ell, b_{\ell+1}, \cdots, b_q\} \ne \emptyset$, then there exists a smallest t with $\ell \le t \le q$ such that $b_t \in B'$. By (2.2), performing (IM2) to identify consecutive vertices in B will not increase the number of vertices adjacent to b_t, and so b_t is adjacent to at most $k - 1$ vertices in A', contrary to the fact that $H \cong K_{k,\ell}$. Hence $B' \subseteq \{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{\ell-1}\}$, and so $|B'| \le \ell - 1$, contrary to the assumption that $|B'| = \ell$. Thus (i) must hold, and so the lemma is justified.
∎

Let $(G; A, B)$ and $(G'; A', B')$ denote disjoint ordered bipartite graphs satisfying the following conditions.

- A has ordering $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_p$, A' has ordering $a'_1 < a'_2 < \cdots < a'_r$, B has ordering $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_q$ and B' has ordering $b'_1 < b'_2 < \cdots < b'_t$, where $p, q, r, t \ge k - 1$.
- $a_i b_j \in E(G)$ for each a_i ($p - k + 2 \le i \le p$) and each b_j ($q - k + 2 \le j \le q$), and $a'_i b'_j \in E(G)$ for each a'_i ($1 \le i \le k - 1$) and each b'_j ($1 \le j \le k - 1$), where $a_{p-k+2}, a_{p-k+3}, \cdots, a_p$ and $b_{q-k+2}, b_{q-k+3}, \cdots, b_q$ are the first $k - 1$ top vertices of A and B, respectively, and $a'_1, a'_2, \cdots, a'_{k-1}$ and $b'_1, b'_2, \cdots, b'_{k-1}$ are the first $k-1$ bottom vertices of A' and B', respectively.

Denote by $G \oplus G'$ the ordered bipartite graph obtained from $(G \cup G'; A \cup A', B \cup B')$ by identifying a_{p-k+i} with a'_{i-1} and b_{q-k+i} with b'_{i-1}, where $2 \leq i \leq k$, and the linear orders of $A \cup A'$ and $B \cup B'$ are such that the vertices of G' precede those of G. The graph $G \oplus G'$ is called the *concatenation* of G and G'.

In the description of $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free graphs below, we shall use the following simple observation, whose proof is left to the reader. Let $(G; A, B)$ and $(G'; A', B')$ be vertex disjoint $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free bipartite graphs with $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 2$ such that the i-th vertex of the first $k-1$ top vertices in A and the i-th vertex first $k-1$ bottom vertices in A' are identified to a new vertex, where $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, and the i-th vertex of the first $k-1$ top vertices in B and the i-th vertex first $k-1$ bottom vertices in B' are identified to a new vertex, where $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then $G \oplus G'$ is also $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free.

Lemma 3 *Let p and q be positive integers, and let k and ℓ be two positive integers with $p = (\ell - k)r + e$, where $k - 1 \leq e \leq \ell - 2$. Then*

$$m(p, q, k, \ell) \geq (\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1).$$

Proof. We introduce a family of $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free bipartite graphs which would turn out to be extremal. Let $\ell \geq k$ and let p and q be positive integers and let $r = \lfloor (p - k + 1)/(\ell - k) \rfloor$ and $s = \lfloor (q - k + 1)/(\ell - k) \rfloor$. We can write $p = (\ell - k)r + e$ a nd $q = (\ell - k)s + f$, where $k - 1 \leq e \leq \ell - 2$ and $k - 1 \leq f \leq \ell - 2$.

Suppose now that $r \leq s$. Let H_0 be $K_{e,\ell-1}$ and let H_i be a copy of $K_{\ell-1,\ell-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. The concatenation

$$H = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_r.$$

is $K_{k,\ell}$-interval minor free by the observation preceding this lemma. It has parts of sizes p and $q' = (\ell - k)(r + 1) + (k - 1)$. It also has $r(\ell - k)(\ell + k - 2) + e(\ell - 1)$ edges. Finally, let $H^+ = K_{k-1,q-q'+(k-1)}$. The graph $H_{p,q}(\ell) = H^+ \oplus H$ has parts of sizes p, q and has $(\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1)$ edges. Therefore, $m(p, q, k, \ell) \geq (\ell - 1)(p - k + 1) + q(k - 1)$.∎

Summing up, Lemmas 1 and 2 justifies Theorem 1(1) and Lemmas 1 and 3 justifies Theorem 1(2). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 follows immediately from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4 *Let* $n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t$ *and* $\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t$ *be positive integers such that* $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_t$*, and* $\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_t$*. If* $n_i < \ell_{i+1}$ *for* $1 \le i \le t-1$*, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & m(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t) \\ \ge \quad & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i\right)\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} n_k\right) - n_1 n_2 + (\ell_1 - 1)n_2 + (n_1 - \ell_1 + 1)(\ell_2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to present a complete t-partite graph that is $K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$-interval minor free. Let $(G; A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_t)$ be a complete t-partite graph such that for each i with $1 \le i \le t$, the partite set A_i has a liner ordering $v_{i,1} < v_{i,2} < \cdots < v_{i,n_i}$; and such that

$$\begin{aligned} E(G) \quad = \quad & \{v_{1,i}v_{2,j} \,|\, 1 \le i \le n_1,\ 1 \le j \le \ell_2 - 1\} \\ & \cup\{v_{1,i_h}v_{2,j} \,|\, \ell_2 \le j \le n_2,\ 1 \le h \le \ell_1 - 1,\ i_h \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n_1\}\} \\ & \cup\{v_{i,r}v_{j,s} \,|\, 3 \le i, j \le t,\ 1 \le r \le n_i,\ 1 \le s \le n_j,\ i \ne j\} \\ & \cup\{v_{1,r}v_{j,s} \,|\, 3 \le j \le t,\ 1 \le r \le n_1,\ 1 \le s \le n_j\} \\ & \cup\{v_{2,r}v_{j,s} \,|\, 3 \le j \le t,\ 1 \le r \le n_2,\ 1 \le s \le n_j\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.3), $G[A_1 \cup A_2]$ is a complete bipartite graph defined in Example 3. As in Lemma 2, $(G; A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_t)$ defined this way represents a family of ordered multipartite graphs. We will also use $(G; A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_t)$ to denote any one in this family.

We claim that G is $K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$-interval minor free. Assume, to the contrary, that G contains a $K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$-interval minor $(H; A'_1, A'_2, \cdots, A'_t)$, such that for some permutation τ on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, t\}$, $A'_i \subseteq A_{\tau(i)}$ as a linearly ordered subset and $|A'_i| = \ell_i$, for $1 \le i \le t$. For $1 \le i \le t-1$, since $n_i < \ell_{i+1}$, it follows that $A'_i \subseteq A_i$. Since $H \cong K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$ is a $K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$-interval minor of G. it follows that $G[A_1 \cup A_2]$ contains a complete bipartite graph K_{ℓ_1,ℓ_2} as its subgraph, contrary to Lemma 2(i). As direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} |E(G)| \quad = \quad & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i\right)\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} n_k\right) - n_1 n_2 + (\ell_2 - 1)n_1 + (n_2 - \ell_2 + 1)(\ell_1 - 1) \\ & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i\right)\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} n_k\right) - n_1 n_2 + (\ell_1 - 1)n_2 + (n_1 - \ell_1 + 1)(\ell_2 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

it follows by definition that

$$m(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t) \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i\right)\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} n_k\right) - n_1 n_2 + (\ell_1 - 1)n_2 + (n_1 - \ell_1 + 1)(\ell_2 - 1).$$

∎

Lemma 5 *Let* $n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t$ *and* $\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t$ *be positive integers such that* $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_t$ *and* $\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_t$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & m(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t) \\ \leq\ & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i\right)\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} n_k\right) - n_1 n_2 + (\ell_1 - 1)n_2 + (n_1 - \ell_1 + 1)(\ell_2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $(G; A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_t)$ be a t-partite graph such that G is $K_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_t}$-interval minor free. Then there exists a bipartite graph $(G; A_i, A_j)$ in G induced by the vertices in $A_i \cup A_j$ such that $(G; A_i, A_j)$ is K_{ℓ_i,ℓ_j}-interval minor free, where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$. Without loss of generality, let $(G; A_i, A_j)$ be K_{ℓ_1,ℓ_2}-interval minor free. By Lemma 1, we have

$$m(n_1, n_2, \ell_1, \ell_2) \leq (\ell_2 - 1)(n_1 - \ell_1 + 1) + n_2(\ell_1 - 1),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & m(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_t, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_t) \\ \leq\ & (\ell_1 - 1)n_2 + (n_1 - \ell_1 + 1)(\ell_2 - 1) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i\right)\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} n_k\right) - n_1 n_2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ∎

References

[1] M.H. Alberta, M. Elder, A. Rechnitzer, P. Westcott, and M. Zabrocki, *On the StanleyWilf limit of 4231-avoiding permutations and a conjecture of Arratia*, Advances in Applied Mathematics 36(2), (2006), 960–1005.

[2] D. Bienstock and E. Györi, *An extremal problem on sparse 0-1 matrices*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 4 (1991), 17–27.

[3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.

[4] P. Brass, G. Karolyi and P. Valtr, A Turán-type extremal theory for convex geometric graphs, in: Discrete and Computational Geometry. The Goodman-Pollack Festschrift, Algorithms Combinatorics 25, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 275–300.

[5] A. Claessona, V. Jelínek, and E. Steingrímsson, *Upper bounds for the Stanley-Wilf limit of 1324 and other layered patterns*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 119(8)(2012), 1680–1691.

[6] J. Fox, *Stanley-Wilf limits are typically exponential*, arXiv:1310.8378.

[7] Z. Füredi, *The maximum number of unit distances in a convex n-gon*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 55(1990), 316–320.

[8] Z. Füredi and P. Hajnal, *Davenport-Schinzel theory of matrices*, Discrete Math. 103(1992), 233–251.

[9] T. W. Hungerford, Algebra, Springer, New York (1974).

[10] M. Klazar, *Extremal problems for ordered (hyper) graphs: applications of Davenport-Schinzel sequences*, European J. Combin. 25 (2004), 125–140.

[11] B. Mohar, A. Rafiey, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie and H. Wu, *Interval minors of complete bipartite graphs*, arXiv: 1408. 1155 [math.CO] 6 Aug 2014.

[12] J. Pach and G. Tardos, *Forbidden paths and cycles in ordered graphs and matrices*, Israel J. Math. 155 (2006), 359–380.